1	BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION		SECRET/	SECRETARIAT	
2 3	In the Matter of	)	2010 NOV - 3	A 0- E1	
4		)		~ + 54	
5	MUR 6329	) CASE CLOSURE UNDER			
6 7	Michael Grimm Michael Grimm for Congress	) ENFORCEMENT PRIORIT	YSYSTEM		
8	and Wayne T. Muratore, as treasurer	) 			
9	and wayne 1. Maratore, as treasurer	' SF	NSITIV	E	
10	GENERAL COUNSEL'S REPORT				
11	Under the Enforcement Priority System	n, matters that are low-rated		1	
12			are		
13	forwarded to the Commission with a recomme	endation for dismissal. The Comm	ission has		
14	determined that pursuing low-rated matters, co	ompared to other higher-rated matte	ers on the		
15	Enforcement docket, warrants the exercise of its prosecutorial discretion to dismiss these				
16	cases. The Office of General Counsel scored MUR 6329 as a low-rated matter.				
17	In this matter, the complainant, Stephen Clinton, President of the Island Democratic				
18	Civic Committee in Staten Island, New York, alleges that Michael Grimm <sup>1</sup> and his campaign				
19	committee, Michael Grimm for Congress and Wayne T. Muratore, in his official capacity as				
20	treasurer ("the Committee"), violated 2 U.S.C. § 441d and the corresponding Commission				
21	regulations by failing to include disclaimers on the campaign's lawn signs. Enclosed with the				
22	complaint is a photocopy of a campaign sign, depicting what appears to be Mr. Grimm's				
23	campaign logo, the name "Michael Grimm" and the word "Congress." The sign does not				
24	include a disclaimer stating that the Committee had paid for it, as required by 2 U.S.C.				
25	§ 441d(a)(1) and 11 C.F.R. § 110.11.				
26	Committee treasurer Wayne Muratore	and campaign manager Aaron Rin	gel filed		
27	separate responses to the complaint. According to Mr. Muratore, when Mr. Grimm became				
28					

<sup>1</sup> Michael Grimm was a candidate in the 2010 election for U.S. Representative from the 13<sup>th</sup> Congressional District in New York

Case Closure under EPS—MUR 6329 General Counsel's Report Page 2

1 aware that the lawn signs did not have appropriate disclaimers, the candidate immediately had 2 his staff prepare labels with the proper disclaimers, which were to be affixed to the defective 3 lawn signs. Mr. Muratore furthers states that he has requested that all printed material contain 4 the appropriate disclaimers and that all campaign material be approved by the Committee's 5 campaign consultants, and notes that that he delayed the mailing of a "specific endorsement 6 letter" until the consultants assured him that it "met both the letter and the spirit of the 7 [diaclaimer provisions of the] law." 8 In his response, Aaron Ringel asserts that the Grimm campaign is aware of the 9 statutory requirements to include disclaimers on campaign communications. Although 10 Mr. Ringel acknowledges that the first batch of lawn signs initially failed to include 11 disclaimers disclosing that the Committee had paid for them, he maintains that the 12 Committee's "solution" was to "print and place stickers containing the 'paid for' language on 13 every lawn sign that was missing" the requisite disclaimer. Enclosed with Mr. Ringel's 14 response are photocopies of a campaign sign with a "Paid For By Grimm for Congress" 15 disclaimer and a roll of stickers bearing the phrase "by Grimm for Congress," which appears to 16 be preceded by the words "paid for." 17 Campaign yard signs are a type of communication that require a written disclaimer. 18 See 2 U.S.C. § 441d(a)(1); 11 C.F.R. §§ 100.26 and 110.11. The Committee has 19 acknowledged that some of its campaign signs did not have the requisite disclaimer. However, 20 in light of the Committee's remedial action, and in furtherance of the Commission's priorities 21 and resources, relative to other matters pending on the Enforcement docket, the Office of 22 General Counsel believes that the Commission should exercise its prosecutorial discretion and

Case Closure under EPS—MUR 6329 General Counsel's Report Page 3

- dismiss this matter. See Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 821 (1985). Additionally, this Office
- 2 intends on reminding Michael Grimm for Congress and Wayne T. Muratore, in his official
- 3 capacity as treasurer, of the disclaimer requirements under 2 U.S.C. § 441d(a)(1) and
- 4 11 C.F.R. § 110.11.

5

34 35 36

## **RECOMMENDATIONS**

The Office of General Counsel recommends that the Commission dismiss MUR 6329,

close the file, and approve the appropriate letters. Additionally, this Office recommends

8 reminding Michael Grimm for Congress and Wayne T. Muratore, in his official capacity as

9 treasurer, of the disclaimer requirements under 2 U.S.C. § 441d(a)(1) and 11 C.F.R. § 110.11.

10 11 Christopher Hughey 12 Acting General Counsel 13 14 15 16 Gregory R. Baker 17 BY: 18 Special Counsel **Complaints Examination** 19 & Legal Administration 20 21 22 23 Jeff S. Jordan 24 25 Supervisory Attorney Complaints Examination 26 27 & Legal Administration 28 29 30 Dominique Dillenseger 31 Attorney 32 33